How to use Overvaluation and Undervaluation Risk Flags in Collateral Underwriter[®] (CU[®])

Fannie Mae' | Collateral Underwriter'

June 2022





© 2022 Fannie Mae

Table of Contents

General Information	Page 3
Case Studies	Page 7
Undervaluation: Resolved by review	Page 8
Undervaluation: Validated by review	Page 14
Overvaluation: Validated by review	Page 21
Overvaluation: Resolved by review	Page 27

If You Get a CU Overvaluation or Undervaluation Risk Flag...

- What does it mean?
- How do you research?
- How do you work with the appraiser to resolve possible issues?



General Information



Ø

CU allows users to segment appraisals by risk profile, facilitating efficient workflow management and resource allocation. CU also helps users reduce time spent on appraisal review, leading to fewer, but better-informed, requests to appraisers. The dynamic and interactive functionality in CU provides access to comparable sales data, mapping with aerial imagery, market trends, public records, local market conditions, and more – all in one free application.

$-\Box$	
· — –	
•	
· — – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
· —	
· —	

Appraisal feedback at the point of submission enables users to proactively address potential issues and improve the overall quality of loans delivered to Fannie Mae.

General Information (cont.)

Important points for using CU successfully

- Users must understand the limitations of automated analysis and be aware of potential property or neighborhood nuances.
- CU is effectively predictive of appraisal defects, but **not all influences on value can be modeled**.
- Well-informed human judgment should take precedence over automated results.
- Users are not expected to utilize the full CU functionality and information available in the CU user interface on every appraisal.



Considerations for Lenders

- ✓ Fannie Mae expects users to practice human due diligence in combination with the CU findings and will actively follow up with users who ask appraisers to change their reports based on CU findings without any further due diligence by the user.
- ✓ Fannie Mae encourages users to carefully review the appraisal report, including all commentary, before seeking clarification from the appraiser.
- ✓ Taking messages or alternative sales at face value and simply asking your appraiser to address them is neither effective nor efficient.
- ✓ After completing a thorough review, users should be able to have constructive dialogue with appraisers if needed to resolve specific appraisal questions or concerns.

Undervaluation and Overvaluation Risk Flag Examples



Whenever the overvaluation or undervaluation risk flag is present, a single reason code representing the strongest statistical correlation to the overvaluation or undervaluation risk will also be displayed.

These reason codes point users to potential root causes.

The various CU tools can be used to validate or dismiss potential concerns.



In the following slides, we show you some examples of appraisals in CU where the undervaluation or overvaluation risk flag is present, and how to determine if further action is needed.

Example 1: Resolved by Review

Undervaluation Risk Flag

In this example, the undervaluation reason code 1043 states "CU has identified comparable sales that may be more similar in property features than those relied on by the appraiser. Ensure that the appraiser has relied on comparables with similar property features. See the CU Comparables tab for details."

Overview (5) Comparables Adjustments Sales History Market Trend	Address Search By Address Search
Doc File ID LNDR LOAN NUMBER APPRAISED VALUE PROPERTY TYPE P ABC1234567 123456789 \$235,000 SF R	View Reports ✓ JJRPOSE APPRAISER APPRAISAL DATE LENDER UCDP STATUS DESIGNATED DATE efinance Appraiser-12345 02-08-2022 ABCDE N/A N/A
Summary	Messages Expand All Collapse All
V5.4	 3 Risk Scores and Flags 1000 The Collateral Underwriter Risk Score is 1 on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 indicates highest potential collateral risk. A score of 999 indicates no Collateral Underwriter Risk Score available. 1010 There is a heightened risk of undervaluation. 1043 CU has identified comparable sales that may be more similar in property features than those relied on by the appraiser. Ensure that the appraiser has relied on comparables with similar property features. See the CU Comparables tab for details.
Market Trend Snapshot	1 Data Discrepancies

The data table on the comparables tab can help users identify why CU has identified a risk of undervaluation.

In this case, the appraised value of \$235,000 is significantly lower than the indicated value of the highest ranked alternative/model comparables which range from \$263-\$297k. Before you make your decision if you agree or disagree with this finding, take a closer look to make sure the highest rated comps are described accurately or if they have attributes valued by the market but not standardized by the Uniform Appraisal Dataset (UAD).

On the following slides, we will show you how you can use the map functionality in the CU web application to quickly and efficiently investigate the validity of the CU finding.

Edit	Adjst	Prop	Rank	Address	Dist 个	Cond	Qual	Gla	Lot	Туре	Age	Bds	Bths	Bs Tot	Bs Fin	Attch	Prk	Lev	Traff	Vw	Loc	Date	Amount	Appr Adjst	Mdl Adjst
		0		2003 Concord Dr. Arlington 73: 78014		C3	Q4	1508	8400	AL	49	3	2			DT	2	1	2	Ν	Ν	02-08-2022	\$235,000	\$235,000	
	<u>nl</u>	1	1	2000 Natiches Dr. Arlington 70 70014	0.08	C3	Q4	1528	6600	AL	52	3	2			DT	2	1	2	Ν	Ν	12-31-2021	\$240,000	\$241,000	\$263,511
0	<u>nl</u>		2	1985 Hully HE C, Arlington 78 78514	0.10	C3	Q4	1470	7841	AL	50	3	2			DT	2	1	1	Ν	N	11-30-2021	\$268,500		\$297,271
0	<u>nl</u>		3	3200 Cancell Dr. Arlington '11 10014	0.29	C3	Q4	1660	7405	AL	44	3	2	-		DT	2	1	2	Ν	Ν	01-31-2022	\$275,000		\$264,874
0	<u>nl</u>		4	1200 Codar Brush Tri, Arlington TX 70014	0.25	C3	Q4	1630	9148	AL	54	4	2			DT	2	2	2	Ν	N	01-31-2022	\$275,000		\$279,972
0	<u>nl</u>		5	1207 Nationa Dr. Anlington To 18014	0.09	C3	Q4	1530	7841	AL	51	4	2			DT	2	1	2	Ν	Ν	07-31-2021	\$250,000		\$287,836
0	<u>nl</u>		6	2000 Natches Dr. Arlington 73, 76224	0.05	C3	Q4	1380	9583	AL	51	3	2			DT	2	1	2	N	N	07-31-2021	\$250,000		\$294,912
0	<u>nl</u>		7	1011 Tentarview (J., Arlington 78 18214	0.46	C3	Q4	1430	7841	AL	49	3	2	1000		DT	2	1	2	Ν	Ν	12-31-2021	\$262,000		\$292,403

Using the embedded Google map, we can zoom in on the model comps to see if they have any non-UAD attributes. This reveals that model comp 2 and 6 have inground pools, and we know the subject property does not.

CU does not back out the contributory value of pools from its adjusted comparable sale prices, so the value indications from these comps (\$294k and \$297k) are too high.





Using Google street-level maps, it was observed that Model Comp 4 has a garage conversion, second story, and a detached garage, suggesting the square footage in CU (1,630 sf) is not accurate - it is likely significantly larger.



We can quickly spot-check the estimated square footage using the "EagleView" tool in CU. This tool enables users to measure areas. In this case, we can conclude that the GLA provided should actually be much larger since it does not include the garage conversion or the second level of the property. This inaccuracy inflates the indicated value.

Using Eagleview we can estimate that the bottom floor at 1,600 SF and the second floor at 1,000 SF, resulting in approximately 2,600 SF, adding 970 SF to the reported GLA. Adding an additional downward adjustment at \$50 per SF (\$50 x 970=\$48,500) puts the indicated value of this comparable within \$5,000 of the appraised value.



Summary Unmodeled influences

After investigating the undervaluation risk flag using the tools in CU, the reviewer can see that three of the highest-rated comparable sales identified by CU had features or inaccuracies which were unknown to the CU model. Careful analysis of the other comparable sales revealed similar issues showing that the appraiser's value of \$235k is within a reasonable range.

This is an example of why it is necessary to validate the CU findings. Issues like these can lead to unsupported model results.

In this example, the review results provide a resolution to the undervaluation risk concern – no follow up with the appraiser is needed.



Example 2: Validated by Review

Undervaluation Risk Flag

In this example, the undervaluation reason code 1042 states "CU has identified comparable sales that may be more similar to the subject than those relied on by the appraiser. Ensure that the appraiser has relied on comparables that are the best and most appropriate for the assignment. See the CU Comparables tab for details."

Overview (4) Comparables Adjustments Sales History Market	Trend				Address	 Search By Add 	dress Search
							View Reports 🔻
DOC FILE ID LNDR LOAN NUMBER APPRAISED ABC1234567 123456789 \$158,000	ALUE PROPERTY TYPE	PURPOSE APPE Refinance App	AISER aiser-12345	APPRAISAL DATE 01-13-2022	LENDER ABCDE	UCDP STATUS N/A	DESIGNATED DATE N/A
ımmary		Message	s				Expand All Collapse All
Risk Score (i)	v5.4	3	Risk Score	s and Flags			^
		10	00 The C 5 india no Co	collateral Underv cates highest po Ilateral Underwi	vriter Risk Score otential collatera riter Risk Score a	is 1 on a scale o I risk. A score of vailable.	of 1 to 5 where f 999 indicates
		10 (i)	10 There	is a heightened	l risk of underval	uation.	
Overvaluation (i) Undervaluation (i) Property Eligibility (i) Appra N/A A Heightened Risk N/A	isal Quality (j) N/A	10	42 CU ha subjec appra appro details	as identified con ct than those re iser has relied c priate for the as s.	nparable sales th lied on by the ap on comparables t ssignment. See th	at may be more praiser. Ensure t hat are the best he CU Comparal	similar to the hat the and most bles tab for

As noted in the message, CU users can get more information with the map and data table in the "Comparables" tab, shown to the right. Let's start with the map.



C	ompa	rables	Subject Apprai	sals (7)																	f	C Update	Model
Del	fine Reg	ion 👻	Comps Displayed 👻	Map Overlays 👻	M	ap Searc	h ▼	+	Show Le	gend	Me	essages	5	Pro	perty	Records			Eagle	eView			
+		Ма	p Satellite			I as Palmas															Expand All	I Collaps	e All
-			acho			2				- 1	3	Risk	Scores	and Fl	ags								^
1				۵		A					100	0 T h F	The Colla highest p Risk Scor	iteral Ur otential re availa	iderwi collat ible.	riter Risk teral risk	Score A sco	e is 1 ore o	on a s f 999 i	scale of 1 to indicates n	o 5 where o Collateri	5 indicates al Underwri	ter
					9						101 i	т 0	There is a	a height	ened i	risk of u	nderva	luati	on.				
		Calle T	Cli Zamora					0		t	104	2 C ti ti	CU has id hose reli hat are ti ab for de	lentified ied on bj he best stails	l com y the a and n	parable : appraise nost app	sales t r. Ensu ropria	hat n ure th te fo	nay be nat the r the a	e more simi e appraiser l issignment.	lar to the has relied . See the C	subject that on compar CU Compara	n rables ables
Park	Q	1	Shurch 🕻		1			-															•
Park Callie Gop	Q ogle		ि hurch कि Av	renue 5	s Map data	©2022	500 ft 🗆		J Term	s of Use	1	Add	litional	Messag	es								^
Park Go Edit	ogle Adjst	Prop	Rank	Penue 5 P Keyboard shortcut Address	s Map data Dist ↑	@2022 Cond	500 ft L	Gla Lot	J Term	Age Br	1 ds Bths	Add	litional Bs Fin	Messag Attch	es Prk	Lev T	raff \	ſw	Loc	Date	Amount	Appr Adjst	^ Mdl
Park Go Edit	ogle Adjst	Prop C	Rank	Keyboard shortcut	s Map data Dist 🕈	©2022 Cond C5	500 ft Qual Q3	Gla Lot 988 6098	J Term Type AL	Age Br	1 ds Bths 2 1 2 1	Add Bs Tot	Bs Fin	Attch DT	Prk	Lev T 1	raff N 1	Nw N	Loc N	Date 01-13-2022	Amount \$158,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000	Mdl
Edit	ogle Adjst	Prop O	Rank	Keyboard shortcut	s Map data Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34	©2022 Cond C5 C4	500 ft L Qual Q3 Q4	Gla Lot 988 6098 1040 5663	Type AL AL	Age B 33 29	1 ds Bths 2 1 3 1.1 3 1.1	Add Bs Tot	litional I Bs Fin	Attch DT DT	Prk 1	Lev T 1	raff \ 1 1	NW N	Loc N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000	MdI \$2
Edit	ogle Adjst	Prop C	Rank	Keyboard shortcut	■ Map data ■ Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34 0.35	©2022 Cond C5 C4 C4 C4	500 ft	Gla Lot 988 6098 1040 5663 1110 6534	Type AL AL AL	Age B 33 29 49 51	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Add Bs Tot 	Bs Fin	Attch DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1	Lev T 1 1 1	aff 1 1 2 2	NW N	Loc N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 11-30-2021 12-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$190,000 \$259,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000	∧ Mdl \$2 \$1 \$2
Edit	Cogle Adjst	Prop 2	Rank AV	Keyboard shortcut	■ Map data Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34 0.35 0.27	©2022 Cond C5 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C3	500 ft ∟ Qual Q3 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4	Gia Lot 988 6098 1040 5653 1110 6534 1120 6098	Type AL AL AL AL AL	Age Br 33 29 49 51 51	1 4 Bths 2 1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 2 3 1.1	Bs Tot	Bs Fin 	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 	Lev 1 1 1 1 1	raff V 1 1 2 2 2	NW N N N N	Loc N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 11-30-2021 12-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$259,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000	Mdl \$2 \$1 \$2 \$2
Park Geo Edit O	Cogle Adjst	Prop C	Rank	kenue Keyboard shortcut	■ Map data ■ Map data ■ 0.19 0.34 0.35 0.27 0.29	©2022 Cond C5 C4 C4 C4 C4 C3 C3 C3	500 ft	Gla Lot 988 6098 1040 5663 1110 6534 1120 6098 1120 6098	Type AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	Age B 33 29 49 51 51 51	1 4 Pths 2 1 3 1.1 3 2 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1	Bs Tot 	Bs Fin 	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 1	Lev T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	raff \ 1 2 2 2 2	NW N N N N N N	Loc N N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 11-30-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 09-30-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$259,000 \$285,000 \$297,000	Appr Adjat \$158,000 \$158,000	MdI 522 511 522 522 522
Park Calle Go Calle Co Calle Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co Co	Adjst nl nl nl nl nl	Prop 2	Rank AV	keyboard shortcut	■ Map data ■ Map data ■ Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34 0.35 0.27 0.29 0.36	©2022 Cond C5 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C3 C3 C3 C4	500 ft L Qual Q3 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4	Gia Lot 988 6098 1040 5663 1110 6534 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098	Type AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	Age Ba 33 33 29 49 51 51 51 51 51 51	1 4 8 8ths 2 1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 2 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 2	Bs Tot 	Bs Fin -	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 1 2	Lev 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	raff 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	Loc N N N N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 09-30-2021 08-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$285,000 \$285,000 \$297,000 \$310,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000	Mdl 522 511 522 522 522 522
Park Calle Control Con	Adjst nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd nd	Prop C	Rank AV	Address	■ Map data ■ Map data ■ Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34 0.35 0.27 0.29 0.36 0.48	20022 Cond C4 C4 C4 C3 C3 C4 C3 C3 C4 C3 C3 C3 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4	500 ft L Qual Q3 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4	Gla Lot 988 6098 1040 5663 1110 6534 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1576 6098	Type AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	Age B 33 29 49 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51	1 5 Pths 2 1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 2 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 2 1 1	Es Tot 	Bs Fin 	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 1 2 2	Lev 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	raff 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NW N N N N N N N N	Loc N N N N N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 11-30-2021 12-31-2021 09-30-2021 09-30-2021 08-31-2021 12-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$2259,000 \$2297,000 \$310,000 \$110,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000 \$158,000	Mdt S22 S10 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S14
Park	Cogle	Prop O	Rank AV	keyboard shortcut	■ Map data ■ Map data ■ Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34 0.35 0.27 0.29 0.36 0.48 0.71	02022 Cond C4 C4 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3 C3	200 ft L Qual Q3 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4	Gia Lot 988 6098 1040 5663 1110 5534 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1060 6098 1060 9148	Term Type AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	Age Bit 33 33 29 49 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 49 51 51 51 51 26 51 31 35 32 35 33 35	I I	Add Bs Tot 	Bs Fin Bs Fin -	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 1 1 2 2	Lev 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	aaff 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NW N N N N N N N N N	Loc N N N N N N N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 09-30-2021 08-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$285,000 \$285,000 \$310,000 \$3110,000 \$3110,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000 \$158,000	Mdt 522 511 522 522 522 522 522 522 512 522 512 522 512 522 52
Park Cale G C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	definition	Prop C C	Rank AV	Keyboard shortcut	■ Map deta ■ Dist ↑ 0.19 0.34 0.35 0.27 0.29 0.36 0.48 0.71 1.69	¢2022 Cond C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4	500 ft L Qual Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4 Q4	Gla Lot 988 6098 1040 5633 1110 6534 1120 6098 1120	Term Type AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	Age But 33 29 49 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 13 10 14 18	I ds Bths 2 1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 1.1 3 2 1 1.1 3 2 1 1 3 2 3 2	Add Bs Tot 	Iitional I Bs Fin -	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2	Lev 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	raff 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NW N N N N N N N N N N	Loc N N N N N N N N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 11-30-2021 12-31-2021 03-31-2021 04-31-2021 05-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$259,000 \$285,000 \$310,000 \$315,000 \$335,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000 \$158,000	Mdl S22 S11 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22
Park Calle Cop Edit C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Adjst Adjst Inl Inl Inl Inl Inl Inl Inl Inl Inl Inl	Prop O	Rank AV	kenue Keyboard shortcut kiddress	Map data Map data	¢2022 cond C4 C4 C4 C3 C3 C3 C3 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4 C4	500 ft Qual Q3 Q4 Q4	Gla Lot 988 6098 1040 5653 1110 6534 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1120 6098 1100 6098 1100 6098 1100 6098	Term Type AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL AL	Age Bit 33 33 29 49 51 51 51 51 51 51 51 14 18 37	I I	Bs Tot 	litional I Bs Fin c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c	Attch DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT DT	Prk 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 1	Lev T 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	aff 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	NW N N N N N N N N N N N	Loc N N N N N N N N N N N N	Date 01-13-2022 10-31-2021 12-31-2021 02-31-2021 03-30-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021 12-31-2021	Amount \$158,000 \$295,000 \$259,000 \$259,000 \$297,000 \$310,000 \$310,000 \$315,000 \$306,000	Appr Adjst \$158,000 \$158,000 \$158,000	Mdl S22 S11 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22 S22

The subject is on a cul-de-sac street in a homogeneous residential neighborhood, however, comparable sales used in the appraisal are geographically different from the subject.

One is adjacent to a busy road and nonresidential property.

The other two are located across a busy road in an area where properties appear to be more varied (based on the roof lines and apparent lot sizes) than properties located in the subject and model comparable areas.





A Closer Look

Notice how properties in this area vary quite a lot in lot size and house size (based on the satellite view). Model comparables appear to be more homogenous in the aerial view.



The photo to the left is a street-level view of the subject property. The photo to the right is a view of the front of one of the comparable sales provided by the appraiser, which is almost half the size, **lacks a** garage, and is inferior in construction and appeal.

Why did the appraiser use a comparable sale that is so significantly different from the subject when there were alternative sales that were more similar?





Appraisal Comparable Sale

Subject

CU contains MLS photos that can be used to validate quality and condition ratings. The appraiser rated the subject condition as C5 but, based on photos (see kitchen below for example), a higher rating is warranted. The appraiser made a \$37k downward adjustment to the comparable, but side by side comparison of the photos in CU shows that the two are equal condition and no adjustment is warranted. Inaccurate condition ratings resulted in inappropriate condition adjustments for all comparables used in the report, supporting the risk of undervaluation.



Appraisal Comparable Sale







Summary

Review confirms there is a valid undervaluation concern.

After investigating the undervaluation risk flag using the tools in CU, the reviewer should now reach out to the appraiser to seek answers to the questions raised which suggest the subject property was undervalued.



Example 3: Validated by Review

Overvaluation Risk Flag

In this example, CU has an overvaluation flag along with the reason code 1022 which states "CU has identified comparable sales that may be more similar in property features than those relied on by the appraiser. Ensure that the appraiser has relied on comparables with similar property features. See the CU Comparables tab for details."

Overview (4) Comparables Adjustments Sales History Market Trend		Address Search By Address Sear	arch
C C FILE ID ABC1234567 123456789 APPRAISED VALUE \$158,000 SF	PURPOSE APPRAISER APPRAISAL DATE Refinance Appraiser-12345 01-13-2022	View Reports LENDER UCDP STATUS DESIGNATED DATE ABCDE N/A N/A	·
Summary	Messages	Expand All Collapse	se All
Risk Score i v5.4	Risk Scores and Flags 1000 The Collateral Underwr 5 indicates highest pot indicates no Collateral 1002 There is a heightened r	A iter Risk Score is 5 on a scale of 1 to 5 where ential collateral risk. A score of 999 Underwriter Risk Score available. isk of appraisal quality issues.	
Overvaluation (i) Undervaluation (i) Property Eligibility (i) Appraisal Quality (i) A Heightened Risk N/A N/A A Heightened Risk	1004 There is a heightened r 1 0 1022 CU has identified composition theorem	isk of overvaluation. parable sales that may be more similar to the	
Market Trend Snapshot	appraiser has relied on appropriate for the ass details.	comparables that are the best and most ignment. See the CU Comparables tab for	

As we have seen in previous examples, the Comparables tab is often the best place to begin the review. Let's start with the data table.



Subject Appraisals (7)

Comparables

C Update Model Data

The data table on the comparables tab can help users identify why CU has identified a risk of overvaluation.

In this case, the appraised value of \$230,000 is significantly higher than the indicated value of the highest ranked alternative/model comparables which range from \$160-\$211k. Before you make your decision if you agree or disagree with this finding, take a closer look to make sure the highest rated comps are described accurately or if they have attributes valued by the market but not standardized by UAD.

On the following slides, we will show you how you can use the map functionality in the CU web application to quickly and efficiently investigate the validity of the CU finding.

Edit	Adjst Prop	Rank	Address	Dist 个	Cond	Qual	Gla	Lot	Туре	Age	Bds	Bths	Bs Tot	Bs Fin	Attch	Prk	Lev	Traff	Vw	Loc	Date	Amount	Appr Adjst	Mdl Adjst
	O				C3	Q4	853	15625	AL	71	2	1	852	852	DT		1.3	1	Ν	Ν	05-19-2022	\$230,000	\$230,000	
0	<u>nl</u>	1		0.29	C3	Q4	1050	20038	AL	65	3	1	980	400	DT		1	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$180,000		\$175,756
0	<u>nl</u>	2		0.22	C3	Q4	1100	10890	AL	64	3	1	1100		DT	1	1	1	Ν	Ν	02-28-2022	\$167,000		\$165,342
0	<u>n1</u>	3		0.44	C3	Q4	1000	9148	AL	62	3	1	1000	500	DT		1	1	Ν	Ν	02-28-2022	\$170,000		\$166,144
0	<u>111</u>	4		0.40	C3	Q4	1010	7405	AL	63	3	1	1010		DT		1	2	Ν	Ν	02-28-2022	\$155,000		\$160,072
0	<u>nl</u>	5		0.18	C3	Q4	1090	14810	AL	59	3	1	520	520	DT		2	2	Ν	Ν	10-31-2021	\$200,000		\$210,926
	ш 🚺	6		0.15	C3	Q4	846	12358	AL	28	2	1	820	615	DT		1	2	Ν	Ν	10-31-2021	\$196,000	\$230,000	\$208,760
0	<u>111</u>	7		0.86	C3	Q4	1060	11761	AL	62	3	1	470	300	DT		1	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$185,000		\$185,221
	ш 👔			0.84	C3	Q4	1071	7810	AL	61	3	1	939	939	DT		1	1	Ν	Ν	02-28-2022	\$207,000	\$230,000	\$204,384
0	<u>111</u>			0.38	C3	Q4	930	7841	AL	64	3	1	930	700	DT		1	2	Ν	Ν	11-30-2021	\$184,000		\$198,766
0	<u>н</u>	10		0.23	C3	Q4	990	7405	AL	59	3	1	990		DT		1	2	Ν	Ν	08-31-2021	\$146,500		\$173,910
	ш 🚹	11		0.67	C3	Q4	1050	22438	AL	55	3	1.1	750		DT	3	1	1	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$206,000	\$224,000	\$173,447

The map feature provides a high-level view of appraisal and model comparables in relation to the subject.

As you can see numerous model comparables are closer to the subject than most of the appraisal comparables.



Satellite view can reveal information about the surrounding area and nearby land use. The subject abuts a wooded area which could affect value and marketability. Several of the high-ranking model comps possess a similar wooded view.

Why did the appraiser use comparables with a dissimilar view? Do adjustments accurately account for this?



The sales price (median) map overlay displays how prices in the subject census block group (CBG) compares to surrounding CBGs.

Notice most of the appraisal comparables are from an area with a higher median sales price.

Why did the appraiser use sales from the area with a higher median sales price when sales in a more similar area are available? Did the appraiser account for this difference?





Summary

Review confirms there is a valid overvaluation concern.

After investigating the overvaluation risk flag using the tools in CU, the reviewer should now reach out to the appraiser to seek answers to the questions raised which suggest the subject property was overvalued.



Example 4: Resolved by Review

Overvaluation Risk Flag

In this example, the overvaluation reason code 1022 states "CU has identified comparable sales that may be more similar to the subject than those relied on by the appraiser. Ensure that the appraiser has relied on comparables that are the best and most appropriate for the assignment. See the CU Comparables tab for details."

Overview (4) Comparables Adjustments Sales History Market Trend	Address	Search By Address Search
DOC FILE ID LNDR LOAN NUMBER APPRAISED VALUE PROPERTY TYPE PL ABC1234567 123456789 \$158,000 \$F Rd	JRPOSE APPRAISER APPRAISAL DATE LENDER efinance Appraiser-12345 01-13-2022 ABCDE	View Reports ▼ UCDP STATUS DESIGNATED DATE N/A N/A
ummary	Messages	Expand All Collapse All
Risk Score (i) v5.4	3 Risk Scores and Flags	^
	1000 The Collateral Underwriter Risk So where 5 indicates highest potenti indicates no Collateral Underwrite	core is 3.6 on a scale of 1 to 5 al collateral risk. A score of 999 er Risk Score available.
3.6	1004 There is a heightened risk of over	valuation.
Low Risk High Risk		
Overvaluation (i) Undervaluation (i) Property Eligibility (i) Appraisal Quality (i) A Heightened Risk N/A N/A N/A	1022 CU has identified comparable sale subject than those relied on by the appraiser has relied on comparab appropriate for the assignment. S details.	es that may be more similar to the e appraiser. Ensure that the les that are the best and most see the CU Comparables tab for

In this case, notice the appraised value of \$563k exceeds the model adjusted value in 9 of the 10 top comparables.

The highest ranked comparables appear to be similar to the subject in GLA, room count, age, lot size, quality, and condition, yet they don't appear to support the appraised value. Before you make your decision if you agree or disagree with this finding, take a closer look to make sure the highest rated comps are described accurately or if they have attributes valued by the market but not standardized by UAD.

On the following slides, we will show you how you can use the map functionality in the CU web application to quickly and efficiently investigate the validity of the CU finding.

Edit	Adjst Prop	p Ra	ank	Address	Dist 个	Cond	Qual	Gla	Lot	Туре	Age	Bds	Bths	Bs Tot	Bs Fin	Attch	Prk	Lev	Traff	Vw	Loc	Date	Amount	Appr Adjst	Mdl Adjst
	C	>				C3	Q4	2537	5750	AL	10	4	2.1			DT	2	2	2	Ν	Ν	05-19-2022	\$563,000	\$563,000	1
⊘	<u>111</u>	1	1		0.12	C3	Q3	1900	5663	AL	8	4	3			DT	2	1	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$396,000		\$472,288
0	<u>n1</u>	1	2		0.26	C3	Q3	2590	6970	AL	4	4	3			DT	3	1	2	Ν	Ν	02-28-2022	\$530,000	\$551,500	\$563,465
0	<u>nl</u>	1	3		0.87	C3	Q4	2630	9148	AL	6	4	3			DT	2	2	2	Ν	Ν	04-30-2022	\$500,000		\$448,995
0	<u>n1</u>	1	4		0.47	C2	Q4	2360	8712	AL	5	4	3			DT	3	1	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$450,000		\$423,348
0	<u>n1</u>	1	5		2.03	C3	Q4	2410	6534	AL	19	4	2.1			DT	2	2	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$420,200		\$439,263
0	<u>nl</u>	1	6		0.55	C2	Q3	2360	4792	AL	4	4	3			DT	2	2	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$489,900		\$511,117
0	<u>111</u>	1			1.03	C2	Q4	2560	10454	AL	3	4	3			DT	2	2	2	Ν	Ν	04-30-2022	\$500,000		\$433,582
0	<u>nl</u>	1	8		2.04	C3	Q4	2530	6098	AL	16	4	2.1			DT	2	2	2	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$425,000		\$442,789
⊘	<u>111</u>	1	9		0.97	C3	Q4	2130	6098	AL	3	4	2			DT	2	1	1	Ν	Ν	03-31-2022	\$439,000		\$507,713
	<u>n1</u>	1	10		0.34	C3	Q4	2350	10530	AL	4	4	3			DT	3	1	2	Ν	Ν	12-31-2021	\$545,300		\$494,800

Using the embedded Google map, we can zoom in on the subject and model comps to see if they have any non-UAD attributes. The subject and appraisal comparables include screened-in pools, but the top ten model comparables do not. We also know that screened-in pools are typical and valued in the subject market.

CU does not add the contributory value of pools to its adjusted comparable sale prices, so the value indications for all the comparables which lacked screened-in pools are too low.











Summary Unmodeled influences

After investigating the overvaluation risk flag using the tools in CU, the reviewer can see that the subject and the appraisal comparable sales had features (screened-in pools) that were unknown to the CU model.

This is an example of why it is necessary to validate the CU findings. Issues like these can lead to unsupported model results.

In this example, the review results provide a resolution to the overvaluation risk concern – no follow up with the appraiser is needed.



For more information...

On CU features and other training materials, visit the Help & Training section of <u>www.fanniemae.com/CU</u>.

Home / Collateral Underwriter	
Applications & Technology	
Collateral Underwriter	
	Ent Short
Offering powerful collateral risk management for	
	«—
Collateral Underwriter ^{∞} (CU ^{∞}) is a web-based application provided at no charge to help lenders manage collateral risk as part of their underwriting and	o Infographic
quality control processes. The application uses appraisal data and advanced	
analytics to help identify and research appraisals with overvaluation,	ेपूं- Help & Training
appraisal quality, or property eligibility/policy compliance risks.	
	Collateral Underwriter Availability
	Every day 24 hours a day except from 1

