## One-Unit Residential Appraisal Field Review in Post-Close Quality Control

Temporary Alternative Checklist

Fannie Mae issued Lender Letter LL-2020-03, Impact of COVID-19 on Originations, which outlines temporary efficiencies for loans in the process of a post-close quality control (QC) review. This checklist is a temporary alternative to replace the One-Unit Residential Appraisal Field Review in Post-Close QC utilizing Collateral Underwriter® (CU®) or Third-party Data and Tools. For instructions on how to use this document, see the Appendix.

**NOTE:** If you answer **no** to any of the questions below, provide comments/supporting documentation, as applicable, in the <u>Commentary and Supporting Documentation section</u> on page 3.



## **Property Information**

Property address			City		State		Zip
CU risk score			Doc file I	)			
Loan Number Effective date of appraisal under review							
CU risk flags		Eligibility		Overvaluatio	on		Quality
Property type		Fee Simple		Leasehold			Other (describe below)
Project type		Condo		PUD			
Appraisal Sections							

#### Subject

Property address accurate (refer to <u>tools.usps.com</u> )	Yes	No
Legal description accurate (Title)	Yes	No No
Parcel ID accurate (Title/Tax Certification)	Yes	No No
Census tract accurate (Title)	Yes	No No
Contract		
Appraiser analyzed the Contract	Yes	No
Contract price correct	Yes	No
Date of Contract prior to appraisal effective date	Yes	No No
Concessions listed match sales comparison analysis grid	Yes	No No

#### Neighborhood

Subject location characteristic correct (Satellite or Skyview in CU)	Yes	No No
Subject Property Value equal to or below the one-unit housing predominant value	Yes	No No
Site		
Lot size/area accurate (Property Records tab in CU)	Yes	No
If zoning is Legal Non-conforming appraiser addressed whether it can be rebuilt if destroyed	Yes	No N/A
View description is accurate (Property Records tab and/or Satellite or Skyview in CU)	Yes	No
Adverse site conditions or external factors are accurate (Satellite or Skyview in CU)	Yes	No

#### Improvement

*Review the following property characteristics with the photographs in the Appraisal, Sketch, and Property Records tab in CU.* 

Number of Units, Type – Detached/Attached, Year Built Reviewed: General Description is accurate	Yes	No
Slab, Crawl, Full Basement, Basement Area sq. ft, Basement finish percentage Reviewed: Foundation Description is accurate	Yes	No
Car Storage/None, Driveway Garage, # of cars, attached, detached Reviewed: Car Storage Description is accurate	Yes	No
Bedroom, Bathrooms, GLA (gross living area) Reviewed: Finished above grade description is accurate	Yes	No
Needed repairs, deterioration, renovation, remodeling, etc. Reviewed: Condition rating is accurate (see <u>Selling Guide B4-1.3-</u> <u>06</u> )	Yes	No No
Physical deficiencies or adverse conditions that affect the livability, soundness, or structural integrity of the property are accurate	Yes	No

#### **Sales Comparison Analysis Grid**

Review CU Comparable Sale Model Rankings (Datappraisal Tab), Model Values (Datappraisal Tab), CU Messages, Property Record Tab Results for Subject and Comparable Sale(s). Appraisal Photographs and if needed interior and exterior Photographs of Comparable Sale(s) obtained from third-party.

Subject Property characteristics are accurate	Yes	No No	
Comparable Sale(s) Property characteristics are accurate	Yes	No	

Subject Property condition and quality ratings are accurate	Yes	No	
Comparable Sale(s) condition and quality ratings are accurate	Yes	No	
Adjustments are supported and justified	Yes	No No	
The sales comparison analysis grid is accurate	Yes	No No	
The comparable sales selected are locationally, physically, and functionally the most similar to the subject property	Yes	No No	

# 

## **Conclusion: Summary Overview**

The appraisal is made in "as is" condition	Yes	No No
Were any Material errors identified in the appraisal report and/or in the review of the CU Tool or equivalent functionality from other third-party tools and data that invalidated the appraiser's opinion of value? <i>If YES, provide commentary and</i>	Yes	🗌 No

supporting documentation below.

### **Commentary and Supporting Documentation**

If you identified any material errors in the appraisal report and/or in the review of CU tool that invalidated the appraiser's opinion of value (or if you answered no to any of the questions above), provide commentary and supporting documentation here.



#### **Reviewer**

Signature of reviewer

Name

Lender Name

Date of review



## Appendix

# Instructions for using temporary alternative checklist to replace the One-Unit Residential Appraisal Field Review in Post Close QC utilizing Collateral Underwriter® (CU®)or Third-Party tools and data

Lenders have the option to use this process as a substitute for obtaining a One-Unit Residential Appraisal Field Review Report (Form 2000) required in <u>Part D</u> of the Selling Guide for the post-closing QC process.

The Lender may use the functionality of the Collateral Underwriter<sup>®</sup> (CU<sup>®</sup>) or equivalent functionality from other third-party tools and data to perform an assessment that validates the accuracy of the appraisal report. The assessment must include the following (at a minimum):

#### Validate Overall Appraisal Data: Subject Property and Comparable Sales

Evaluate appraisal report data to determine appropriateness/accuracy of all data for subject property and comparable sales using any of the following functionalities in CU or equivalent functionality from other third-party tools and data:

- Flags
- Messages
- Property Records Tab Results, for subject property and all comparable sales
- Datappraisal Tab, including the ranking and model adjusted values of comparable sales
- Datappraise Options (as applicable)
- Pencil Feature (as applicable)
- Adjustment Analysis Screen (as applicable)

#### Validate Property Condition Reported: Subject Property and Comparable Sales

Accept as accurate the appraiser's reported condition of the subject property and comparable sales unless reviewer's data validation identifies contradictory and/or conflicting information that materially impacts the condition reported; reviewer's data validation should include the following (at a minimum):

- Property Records Tab Results, for subject property and all comparable sales
- Appraisal report interior (subject) and exterior photographs
- Third-party sources (obtain additional comparable sale interior/exterior photographs, as applicable)

#### Validate Neighborhood Data: Subject Property and Comparable Sales

Evaluate all data in the Neighborhood section of the appraisal report to determine appropriateness/accuracy of the scope of community and market established by the appraiser for subject property and comparable sales; confirm no external adverse influences and/or external obsolescence using the following functionalities in CU or equivalent functionality from other third-party tools and data:

- Satellite View
- Skyview
- Property Records Tab Results, for subject property and all comparable sales



#### Validate Comparable Sales Analysis Grid: Subject Property and Comparable Sales

Evaluate Comparable Sales Analysis Grid to confirm accuracy of all data pertaining to subject property and comparable sales by using the following functionalities in CU or equivalent functionality from other third-party tools and data:

- Satellite View
- Skyview
- Property Records Tab Results, for subject property and all comparable sales
- Third-party sources (obtain additional comparable sale interior/exterior photographs as applicable)
- Datappraise Options (as applicable)
- Pencil Feature (as applicable)
- Adjustment Analysis Screen (as applicable)

#### Assess Opinion of Market Value: Subject Property

Evaluate the appraiser's opinion of market value for the subject property:

• If the reviewer identifies material errors in the appraisal report that invalidates the appraiser's opinion of market value for the subject property, the Lender must self-report.